EXHIBIT F

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICHAEL WARD d/b/a BRAINTEASER PUBLICATIONS,						
Plaintiff, vs.	13-cv-7851 (JMF) PLAINTIFF'S SPECIAL VERDICT					
BARNES & NOBLE, INC., STERLING PUBLISHING CO., INC., FRANCIS HEANEY, and PATRICK BLINDAUER,	FORM					
Defendants.						
We, the jury impaneled in this matter, hereby answer the Special Verdict questions put to us as follows:						
 Did Defendant Sterling obtain copyright registrations on Ward's behalf that cover either the text, entire text, or compilation of the Ward Books? 						
YES	NO					
If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, do not answer the remaining questions. Sign and date the Special Verdict Form and notify the bailiff. If you answered "YES" to Question No. 1, proceed to Question No. 2.						
2. Are the instruction pages contained in the Ward Books identical or at the very least in some instances substantially similar to the Challenged Books?						
YES	NO					
If you answered "NO" to Question No. 1, do not answer the remaining questions. Sign and date the Special Verdict Form and notify the bailiff. If you answered "YES" to Question No. 2, proceed to Question No. 3.						
	tions that appear in the Ward Books and use Vard's consent or authorization and have the ff's copyright ownership of the Ward Books?					

	YES	NO		
	•	to Question No. 3, then the Defendants are not liable for, if you answered "YES" then the Defendants are liable os. 4 and 5		
4.	Do you find that the infringem YES	ents by the Defendants were committed willfully? NO		
If you answered "YES" to Question No. 4, would you award the Plaintif maximum statutory damages of \$150,000 for each infringement?				
	YES	NO		
		'to Question No. 4 skip directly to question number 6 No. 5. If you answered "NO" to Question No. 4, proc		
5.		ss were not done willfully but they are nonetheless not ory damages do you award Plaintiff (between \$750 and t?	d	
	;	<u> </u>		
6.	attorney's fees, in this case the only gets compensated if his c	aintiff in Willful Copyright Infringement actions to reconstruction Plaintiff was hired on a contingency fee basis whereby lient recovers a monetary sum, the percentage that the d upon is one-third (1/3) of the recovery, should this amount in the second recovery.	y he	
	YES	NO		
7.	the State of New York where t	rty to recover pre-judgment interest, the rate in effect i his court resides is 9% per annum, should this amount from the date of the first infringement?		

YES	NO			
Sign and date the Special Verdict Form and notify the bailiff.				
SIGNED this day of June, 2015	I			
		JURY FOREPERSON		